

## A NOTE ON FIBONACCI-TYPE POLYNOMIALS

TEWODROS AMDEBERHAN

Department of Mathematics  
 Tulane University  
 tamdeber@tulane.edu

ABSTRACT. We opt to study the convergence of maximal real roots of certain Fibonacci-type polynomials given by  $G_n = x^k G_{n-1} + G_{n-2}$ . The special cases  $k = 1$  and  $k = 2$  are found in [4] and [7], respectively.

In the sequel,  $\mathbb{P}$  denotes the set of positive integers. The Fibonacci polynomials [2] are defined recursively by  $F_0(x) = 1$ ,  $F_1(x) = x$  and

$$F_n(x) = xF_{n-1}(x) + F_{n-2}(x), \quad n \geq 2.$$

**Fact 1.** *Let  $n \geq 1$ . Then the roots of  $F_n(x)$  are given by*

$$x_k = 2i \cos \left( \frac{\pi k}{n+1} \right), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

*In particular a Fibonacci polynomial has no positive real roots.*

**Proof.** The Fibonacci polynomials are essentially Tchebycheff polynomials. This is well-known (see, for instance [2]).  $\square$

Let  $k \in \mathbb{P}$  be fixed. Several authors ([3]-[7]) have investigated the so-called *Fibonacci-type* polynomials. In this note, we focus on a particular group of polynomials recursively defined by

$$G_n^{(k)}(x) = \begin{cases} -1, & n = 0 \\ x - 1, & n = 1 \\ x^k G_{n-1}^{(k)}(x) + G_{n-2}^{(k)}(x), & n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

When there is no confusion, suppress the index  $k$  to write  $G_n$  for  $G_n^{(k)}(x)$ . We list a few basic properties relevant to our work here.

**Fact 2.** *For each  $k \in \mathbb{P}$ , there is a rational generating function for  $G_n$ ; namely,*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} G_n^{(k)}(x) t^n = \frac{(x^k + x - 1)t - 1}{1 - x^k t - t^2}.$$

**Proof.** follows from the definition of  $G_n$ .  $\square$

**Fact 3.** *The following relation holds*

$$G_n^{(k)}(x) = \frac{G_{n-1}^{(k)}F_{n-1}(x^k) + (-1)^{n-1}}{F_{n-2}(x^k)}.$$

**Proof.** Write the equivalent formulation

$$G_n^{(k)}(x) = \det \begin{pmatrix} x-1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & x^k & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & x^k & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & x^k & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & x^k & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & x^k \end{pmatrix},$$

then apply Dodgson's determinantal formula [1].  $\square$

**Fact 4.** *For a fixed  $k$ , let  $\{g_n^{(k)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{P}}$  be the maximal real roots of  $\{G_n^{(k)}(x)\}_n$ . Then  $\{g_{2n}^{(k)}\}_n$  and  $\{g_{2n-1}^{(k)}\}_n$  are decreasing and increasing sequences, respectively.*

**Proof.** First, each  $g_n$  exists since  $G_n(0) = 1 < 0$  and  $G_n(\infty) = \infty$ . Assume  $x > 0$ . Invoking Fact 3 from above, twice, we find that

$$F_{2n-3}(x^k)G_{2n}^{(k)}(x) = F_{2n-1}(x^k)G_{2n-2}^{(k)}(x) + x^k, \quad F_{2n-2}(x^k)G_{2n+1}^{(k)}(x) = F_{2n}(x^k)G_{2n-1}^{(k)}(x) - x^k.$$

From these equations and  $F_n(x) > 0$  (see Fact 1), it is clear that  $G_{2n-2}(x) > 0$  implies  $G_{2n}(x) > 0$ ; also if  $G_{2n-2}(x) = 0$  then  $G_{2n}(x) > 0$ . Thus  $g_{2n-2} > g_{2n}$ . A similar argument shows  $g_{2n+1} > g_{2n-1}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

Define the quantities

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x) &= \frac{x + \sqrt{x^2 + 4}}{2}, & \beta(x) &= \frac{x - \sqrt{x^2 + 4}}{2}, \\ p(x) &= \frac{(x-1) + \beta(x^k)}{\alpha(x^k) - \beta(x^k)}, & q(x) &= \frac{(x-1) + \alpha(x^k)}{\alpha(x^k) - \beta(x^k)}. \end{aligned}$$

**Fact 5.** *For  $n \geq 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{P}$ , we have the explicit formula*

$$G_n^{(k)}(x) = p(x)\alpha^n(x^k) - q(x)\beta^n(x^k).$$

**Proof.** this is a standard procedure.  $\square$

For each  $k \in \mathbb{P}$ , introduce another set of polynomials

$$H^{(k)}(x) = x^k - x^{k-1} + x - 2.$$

**Fact 6.** For each  $k \in \mathbb{P}$ , the polynomial  $H^{(k)}(x)$  has exactly one positive real root  $\xi^{(k)}$ . And  $\xi^{(k)} > 1$ .

**Proof.** Since  $H^{(k)}(x) = (x-1)(x^{k-1}+1)-1 < 0$ , whenever  $0 < x \leq 1$ , there are no roots in the range  $0 < x \leq 1$ . On the other hand,  $H^{(k)}(1) < 0$ ,  $H^{(k)}(\infty) = \infty$  and the derivative

$$\frac{d}{dx}H^{(k)}(x) = x^{k-1}(k(x-1)+1)+1 > 0 \quad \text{whenever } x \in \mathbb{P},$$

suggest there is only one positive root (necessarily greater than 1).  $\square$

**Fact 7.** If  $k$  is odd (even), then  $H^{(k)}(x)$  has no (exactly one) negative real root.

**Proof.** For  $k$  odd,  $H^{(k)}(-x) = (-x-1)(x^{k-1}+1)-1 < 0$ . For  $k$  even,  $H^{(k)}(-x) = x^k + x^{k-1} - x - 2$  changes sign only once. Apply Descartes's Rule.  $\square$

Now, we state and prove the main result of the present note.

**Theorem.** Preserve the notations of Facts 4 and 6. Then, depending on the parity of  $n$ , the roots  $\{g_n^{(k)}\}_n$  converge from above or below so that  $g_n^{(k)} \rightarrow \xi^{(k)}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Note also  $\xi^{(k)} \rightarrow 1$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proof.** For notational brevity, suppress  $k$  and write  $g_n$  and  $\xi$ . From  $G_n(g_n) = 0$  and Fact 5 above, we resolve

$$(1) \quad \frac{p(g_n)}{q(g_n)} = \frac{\beta^n(g_n^k)}{\alpha^n(g_n^k)}, \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{2(g_n-1) + g_n^k - \sqrt{g_n^{2k}+4}}{2(g_n-1) + g_n^k + \sqrt{g_n^{2k}+4}} = (-1)^n \left(1 - \frac{2g_n}{g_n^k + \sqrt{g_n^{2k}+4}}\right)^n.$$

Using Gershgorin's Circle theorem, it is easy to see that  $1 \leq g_n \leq 2$ . When combined with Fact 4, the monotonic sequences  $\{g_{2n}\}_n$  and  $\{g_{2n-1}\}_n$  converge to finite limits, say  $\xi_+$  and  $\xi_-$  respectively.

The right-hand side of (1) vanishes in the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , thus

$$2(\xi-1) + \xi^k - \sqrt{\xi^{2k}+4} = 0.$$

Further simplification leads to  $H^{(k)}(\xi) = \xi^k - \xi^{k-1} + \xi - 2 = 0$ . From Fact 6, such a solution is unique. So,  $\xi_+ = \xi_- = \xi$  completes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] C.L. Dodgson, *Condensation of Determinants*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London **15** (1866), 150-155.
- [2] V.E. Hoggart, Jr., M. Bicknell, *Roots of Fibonacci Polynomials*, The Fibonacci Quarterly **11.3** (1973), 271-274.
- [3] F. Matyas, *Behavior of Real Roots of Fibonacci-like Polynomials*, Acta Acad. Paed. Agriensis, Sec. Mat. **24** (1997), 55-61.
- [4] G.A. Moore, *The Limit of the Golden Numbers is 3/2*, The Fibonacci Quarterly **32.3** (1994), 211-217.
- [5] P.E. Ricci, *Generalized Lucas Polynomials and Fibonacci Polynomials*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma **(5) 4** (1995), 137-146.
- [6] H. Yu, Y. Wang, M. He, *On the limit of Generalized Golden Numbers*, The Fibonacci Quarterly **34.4** (1996), 320-322.
- [7] A. Zeleke, R. Molina, *Some Remarks on Convergence of Maximal Roots of a Fibonacci-type Polynomial Sequence*, Annual meeting Math. Assoc. Amer. (August 2007).

## Appendix

In this section, we discuss the *bivariate Fibonacci* polynomials, of the *first kind* (BFP1), defined as

$$g_n(x, y) = xg_{n-1}(x, y) + yg_{n-2}(x, y), \quad g_0(x, y) = x, \quad g_1(x, y) = y.$$

If  $x = y = 1$  then the resulting sequence is the Fibonacci numbers.

The following is a generating function for the BFP1

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} g_n(x, y)t^n = \frac{x + (y - x^2)t}{1 - xt - yt^2}.$$

It is also possible to give an explicit expression

$$g_n(x, y) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{2n - 3k + 1}{n - k} \binom{n - k}{k - 1} x^{n-2k+1} y^k.$$

This shows clearly that each BFP1 has non-negative coefficients.

The other variant appears often in the literature which we call *bivariate Fibonacci* polynomials, of the *second kind* (BFP2). These are recursively defined as

$$f_n(x, y) = xf_{n-1}(x, y) + yf_{n-2}(x, y), \quad f_0(x, y) = y, \quad f_1(x, y) = x.$$

Obviously  $f_n(1, 1)$  yields the Fibonacci numbers. We also find the ordinary generating function

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} f_n(x, y)t^n = \frac{y + (x - xy)t}{1 - xt - yt^2}.$$

One interesting contrast between the two families is the following. While the roots of  $f_n(x, 1)$  are all imaginary, the roots of  $g_n(1, y)$  are all real numbers.

Using the corresponding generating functions for BFP2  $f_n(x, y)$  and the classical Fibonacci polynomials  $F_n(x) = f_n(x, 1)$  proves the below affine relation

$$f_n(x, y^2) = xy^{n-1}F_{n-1}(x/y) + y^{n+2}F_{n-2}(x/y).$$

In particular, the *Jacobsthal-Lucas* numbers  $J_n = f_n(2, 1)$  can be expressed in terms of values of the Fibonacci polynomials, at  $1/\sqrt{2}$ , namely that

$$J_n = 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} F_{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + 2^{\frac{n}{2}+1} F_{n-2} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right).$$

Since we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} F_n(x)t^n = \frac{1}{1 - xt - t^2} \quad \text{and} \quad F_n(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n - k}{k} x^{n-2k},$$

we obtain

$$f_n(x, y^2) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n-k-1}{k} x^{n-2k} y^k + \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n-k-2}{k} x^{n-2k-2} y^{k+2}.$$

In particular, when  $x = 1$  there holds

$$f_n(1, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor} \frac{(n-k-1)!}{k!(n-2k+2)} Q(n, k) y^k$$

where  $Q(n, k) = n^3 - 3(2k-1)n^2 + (13k(k-1) + 2)n - k(k-1)(9k-4)$ .

If we alter the definition of BFP2 and specialize as  $h_0 = 2, h_1 = 1, h_n(x) = h_{n-1}(x) + xh_{n-2}(x)$  then the resulting sequence of polynomials become intimately linked to the Lucas polynomials  $L_n(x)$  as follows

$$L_n(x) = x^n h_n(1/x^2).$$